I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Trinity requests that the Court deny confirmation of the Debtor's Plan, as it fails to commit the Debtor's disposable income, and also fails to provide for the correct amount of payments to Trinity. For the reasons set forth herein, the Court should deny confirmation of the Plan unless Debtor amends the Plan to provide for Trinity's claim correctly.

II. STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 1. Trinity's claim is evidenced by a Home Equity Credit Line Agreement and Disclosure Statement executed by Debtor Ana Duran and dated November 21, 2005, in the original principal sum of \$155,000.00 (the "HELOC"). A copy of the HELOC is attached to Trinity's Proof of Claim [Claim No. 3-1 on the Court's Claims Register]..
- 2. The HELOC is secured by a second deed of trust (the "Deed of Trust") encumbering the real property commonly known as 1171 East Claiborne Drive, Long Beach, CA 90807 (the "Property"). A copy of the Deed of Trust is attached to Trinity's Proof of Claim [Claim No. 3-1 on the Court's Claims Register].
- 3. Subsequently, the Note was assigned to Trinity. Trinity, directly or through an agent, is in possession of the original HELOC. A copy of the Assignments is attached to Trinity's Proof of Claim [Claim No. 3-1 on the Court's Claims Register].
 - 4. On July 11, 2018, the Debtor filed his original plan [Docket No. 12].
- 5. On July 24, 2018, Trinity filed its Proof of Claim secured by the Subject Property with a total outstanding balance of \$218,866.60 and a pre-petition arrearage claim of \$81,674.82. *See* CCR, Claim No. 3-1.
- 6. On July 30, 2018, Secured Creditor Deutsche Bank National Trust Company filed its Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan [Docket No. 21].
- 7. On August 1, 2018, the Chapter 13 Trustee filed an Objection to Confirmation of Chapter 13 Plan [Docket No. 22].
- 8. On August 7, 2018, Trinity filed its Objection to Confirmation of Plan [Docket No. 23 and Docket No. 24].

- 2 -

| ///

2

5

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

///

///

27

28

On October 12, 2018, Debtor filed his untimely First Amended Chapter 13 Plan 9. [Docket No. 38] in advance of the October 17, 2018 confirmation hearing.

On October 14, 2018, Debtor filed his untimely Second Amended Chapter 13 Plan 10. [Docket No. 39] in advance of the October 17, 2018 confirmation hearing.

III. **ARGUMENT**

The provisions of 11 U.S.C. § 1325 set forth the requirements for the Court to 11. confirm a Chapter 13 Plan. The burden is on the debtor to demonstrate that the plan meets the conditions essential for confirmation. Warren v. Fidelity & Casualty Co. of N.Y. (In re Warren), 89 B.R. 87, 93 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 1988). For the reasons detailed herein, the Debtor fails to meet this burden.

The Plan Cannot Be Confirmed Because the Debtor Fails to Commit His A. Disposable Income

- Debtor's Schedule I states that he is a sales manager with monthly take-home pay 12. in the amount of \$4,333 [Docket No. 11]. However, the Debtor's own Declaration by Debtor as to Whether Income Was Received from an Employer within 60 Days of the Petition Date [Docket No. 15] states "I was not paid by an employer because I was either self-employed only, or not employed."
- Trinity is in possession of the Debtor's checking account statements from 2017 13. due to the Debtor's previous application for a loan modification. The first page of one such checking account statement is attached as Exhibit 1 to Trinity's previous Objection to Confirmation of the Plan [Docket Nos. 23 and 29]. The Debtor's checking account shows the Debtor has take-home pay in the amount of \$4,956.16 every 28 days, or \$2,478.08 every two weeks.
- Trinity notes that the trustee has also objected to the Plan on the basis of the 14. Debtor's failure to prove income [Docket No. 22].

IRV #4849-7891-0328 v1 06836-0136.002

///

- 3 -

OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF SECOND AMENDED PLAN

Case 2:18-bk-17409-WB Doc 43 Filed 10/31/18 Entered 10/31/18 17:07:09 Desc Main Document Page 4 of 6

B. The Plan is Not Proposed in Good Faith

15. The Debtor's checking account statement referred to above is titled to an entity named Integra Financial Solutions, LLC ("Integra"). The residence shown on the account statement is also the Debtor's. According to the Office of the Secretary of State of the State of California, the Debtor signed Articles of Organization in favor of Integra in 2010. *See* Exhibit 2 to Trinity's *Objection to Confirmation of the Plan* [Docket No. 23, Docket No. 24, and Docket No. 29]. The Debtor's entity was apparently still active as of 2017, yet the Debtor has not made any disclosures as to this entity. The Court should deny confirmation of the Plan until creditors have more information on the Debtor's business entity.

IV. <u>CONCLUSION.</u>

Based on the foregoing, Trinity respectfully requests that the Plan not be confirmed.

12

Dated: October 31, 2018 Respectfully submitted,

BURKE, WILLIAMS & SORENSEN, LLP

By:

15

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

BURKE, WILLIAMS &

SORENSEN, LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW SANTA ANA IRV #4849-7891-0328 v1 06836-0136.002 - 4 - OBJECTION TO CONFIRMATION OF SECOND AMENDED PLAN

Richard J. Reynolds Rafael R. Garcia-Salgado

TRINITY FINANCIAL SERVICES, LLC

Attorneys for Creditor